**ECE 2390/1390 Group Project**

**Group Name: ChessVision**

**Group Members:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Comments | Deductions |
| **Documentation** (15 points)   * Repository info readme are documented and kept updated (6pts) * Actual code is documented and easy to follow (6pts) * Any additional code is cited and proper licensing followed (3pts) | Your Readme file is good. Your code documentation could be a bit better. There is not a lot of line-by-line comments or header information about what the functions do or their inputs/outputs.  Missing a bit of the licensing for YOLO and sources for images | 13/15 |
| **Use of GitHub** (15 points)   * Code commits are incremental (5pts) * Code tests and benchmarks are noted (5pts) * Work is represented from all members (5pts) | Your use of Github including branches and routine commits is good. Your commit comments were mostly informative, but could have been better.  All team members contributed | 14/15 |
| **Project requirements** (10points)   * Did you incorporate learning from the course into your project? | You did a lot to incorporate parts of the class | 10/10 |
| **Overall** (20 points)   * Challenge (10pts). Was the project appropriate difficulty? If the project was too easy, did the team add features? * Innovation (10pts). Did the team develop something novel? | You should be proud of the project. I think it ended up being a good level of challenge. I know you iterated through a bunch of options for the chessboard detection | 20/20 |

Total 57/60